

Analyse des politiques étrangères

Objectif

Apporter aux étudiants une culture de base solide en APE leur permettant en particulier de préparer un mémoire incluant des questions de politique étrangère.

Travaux demandés

- Lecture des textes et participation à la discussion en classe
- Une exposé portant sur une étude de cas

L'exposé consiste en une intervention orale individuelle de 15 minutes. Il s'agit de confronter les méthodes d'analyse et les explications théoriques étudiées en classe avec un cas empirique lié à l'actualité ou aux intérêts de recherche de l'étudiant. Cet exposé peut en particulier être envisagé comme un premier travail préparatoire en vue du dossier de recherche. Deux thèmes sont suggérés :

- L'étude du traitement médiatique d'un décideur (Poutine, Obama...) : quelles sont les méthodes (analyse rationnelle, psychologique, biographique...) couramment mises en œuvre pour expliquer leurs décisions ?
- L'étude du rôle d'un acteur de la prise de décision au sein d'un Etat, si possible illustré par un cas d'actualité. Exemple : le Congrès américain et la guerre contre l'Etat Islamique, le Cabinet israélien et la guerre à Gaza... La diversité des Etats étudiés est encouragée (Russie, Chine, Inde, Brésil etc.).

Programme des séances

Séance 1 - Introduction : la place de l'APE dans l'étude des relations internationales

Séance 2 – L'articulation des niveaux d'analyse

J. David Singer, "The Level-of-Analysis Problem in International Relations," *World Politics*, Vol. 14, No. 1, (Oct., 1961), pp. 77-92.

James D. Fearon, "Domestic Politics, Foreign Policy, and Theories of International Relations," *Annual Review of Political Science*, 1998, 1: 289-313.

Joe D. Hagan, "Does Decision Making Matter? Systemic Assumptions vs. Historical Reality in International Relations Theory," *International Studies Review*, Vol. 3, No. 2, (Summer, 2001), pp. 5-46.

Gideon Rose, "Neoclassical Realism and Theories of Foreign Policy," *World Politics*, Vol. 51, No. 1, (Oct. 1998), pp. 144-172.

Séance 3 – La décision I : analyse rationaliste

Robert Jervis, "Cooperation Under the Security Dilemma," *World Politics*, Vol. 30, No. 2. (Jan., 1978), pp. 167-214.

Glenn H. Snyder, "The Security Dilemma in Alliance Politics," *World Politics*, Vol. 36, No. 4 (Jul., 1984), pp. 461-495.

Robert D. Putnam, "Diplomacy and Domestic Politics: The Logic of Two-Level Games," *International Organization*, Vol. 42, No. 3 (Summer, 1988), pp. 427-460.

James D. Fearon, "Rationalist Explanations for War," *International Organization*, Vol. 49, No. 3. (Summer, 1995), pp. 379-414.

Séance 4 – La décision II : analyse des processus

Robert Jervis, "Hypotheses on Misperception," *World Politics*, Vol. 20, No. 3 (Apr., 1968), pp. 454-479.

Alex Mintz, "How Do Leaders Make Decisions?: A Poliheuristic Perspective," *Journal of Conflict Resolution* (2004) 48: 3-13.

Dina Badie, "Groupthink, Iraq, and the War on Terror: Explaining US Policy Shift toward Iraq," *Foreign Policy Analysis* (2010) 6, 277-296.

Charles A. Duelfer, Stephen B. Dyson, "Chronic Misperception and International Conflict: The U.S.-Iraq Experience," *International Security*, Volume 36, Number 1, Summer 2011, pp. 73-100.

Séance 5 – Les décideurs et leurs personnalités

Alexander L. George, "The "Operational Code": A Neglected Approach to the Study of Political Leaders and Decision-Making," *International Studies Quarterly*, Vol. 13, No. 2 (Jun., 1969), pp. 190-222.

Daniel L. Byman and Kenneth M. Pollack, "Let Us Now Praise Great Men: Bringing the Statesman Back In," *International Security*, Vol. 25, No. 4 (Spring, 2001), pp. 107-146.

Stephen B. Dyson, "Personality and Foreign Policy: Tony Blair's Iraq Decisions," *Foreign Policy Analysis* (2006) 2, 289-306.

Qingmin Zhang, "Towards an Integrated Theory of Chinese Foreign Policy: bringing leadership personality back in," *Journal of Contemporary China*, 23:89, (2014), 902-922.

Séance 6 – Les bureaucraties I : Allison et ses critiques

Graham T. Allison, "Conceptual Models and the Cuban Missile Crisis," *The American Political Science Review*, Vol. 63, No. 3 (Sep., 1969), pp. 689-718.

Stephen D. Krasner, "Are Bureaucracies Important? (Or Allison Wonderland)," *Foreign Policy*, No. 7 (Summer, 1972), pp. 159-179.

Jonathan Bendor, Thomas H. Hammond, “Rethinking Allisons Models,” *The American Political Science Review*, Vol. 8, No. 2 (Jun., 1992), pp. 301-322.

Nelson Michaud, « Graham Allison et le paradigme bureaucratique : vingt-cinq ans plus tard est-il encore utile ? » *Études internationales*, vol. 27, n° 4, 1996, p. 769-794.

Séance 7 – Les bureaucraties II : raffinements

Jerel A. Rosati, “Developing a Systematic Decision-Making Framework: Bureaucratic Politics in Perspective,” *World Politics*, Vol. 33, No. 2 (Jan., 1981), pp. 234-252.

Martin Hollis and Steve Smith, “Roles and Reasons in Foreign Policy Decision Making,” *British Journal of Political Science*, Vol. 16, No. 3 (Jul., 1986), pp. 269-286.

Jack S. Levy, “Organizational Routines and the Causes of War,” *International Studies Quarterly*, Vol. 30, No. 2 (Jun., 1986), pp. 193-222.

Jutta Welde, “Bureaucratic Politics: A Critical Constructivist Assessment,” *Mershon International Studies Review*, Vol. 42, No. 2 (Nov., 1998), pp. 216-225.

Séance 8 – Les régimes internes

Peter Katzenstein, “International Relations and Domestic Structures: Foreign Economic Policies of Advanced Industrial States,” *International Organization* 30 (Winter 1976), 1-45.

Steven R. David, “Explaining Third World Alignment,” *World Politics*, Vol. 43, No. 2 (Jan., 1991), pp. 233-256.

Michael W. Doyle, “Liberalism and World Politics,” *The American Political Science Review*, Vol. 80, No. 4 (Dec., 1986), pp. 1151-1169.

Baris Kesgin, Juliet Kaarbo, “When and How Parliaments Influence Foreign Policy: The Case of Turkey’s Iraq Decision,” *International Studies Perspectives* (2010) 11, 19–36.

Séance 9 – La société et l’opinion publique

Helen Milner, “Resisting the protectionist temptation: industry and the making of trade policy in France and the United States during the 1970s,” *International Organization* 41, 4, Autumn 1987, pp. 639-665.

Andrew Moravcsik, “Taking Preferences Seriously: A Liberal Theory of International Politics,” *International Organization* 51, 4, Autumn 1997, pp. 513–553.

Douglas C. Foyle, “Leading the Public To War? The Influence of American Public Opinion on the Bush Administration’s Decision to go to War in Iraq,” *International Journal of Public Opinion Research* Vol. 16 No. 3, (2004), pp. 269-294.

Piers Robinson, “Theorizing the Influence of Media on World Politics: Models of Media Influence on Foreign Policy,” *European Journal of Communication*, 2001, 16, 523-544.

Séance 10 – Les cultures et les idées

Thomas U. Berger, “From Sword to Chrysanthemum: Japan's Culture of Anti-militarism,” *International Security*, Vol. 17, No. 4 (Spring, 1993), pp. 119-150.

John S. Duffield, “Political Culture and State Behavior: Why Germany Confounds Neorealism,” *International Organization* 53, 4, Autumn 1999, pp. 765-803.

Alastair Iain Johnston, “Thinking about Strategic Culture,” *International Security*, Vol. 19, No. 4 (Spring, 1995), pp. 32-64.

Craig Parsons, “Showing Ideas as Causes: The Origins of the European Union,” *International Organization* 56, 1, Winter 2002, pp. 47–84.

Séance 11 – Le changement

Charles F. Hermann, “Changing Course: When Governments Choose to Redirect Foreign Policy,” *International Studies Quarterly*, Vol. 34, No. 1 (Mar., 1990), pp. 3-21.

Jeff Checkel, “Ideas, Institutions, and the Gorbachev Foreign Policy Revolution,” *World Politics*, Vol. 45, No. 2 (Jan., 1993), pp. 271-300.

Michael Barnett, “Culture, Strategy and Foreign Policy Change: Israel's Road to Oslo,” *European Journal of International Relations*, 1999, 5, pp. 5-36.

Chris Alden, Garth le Pere, “Mandela and the Foreign Policy of Transition,” *The Adelphi Papers*, Volume 43, Issue 362, (2003), pp. 11-26.